- Q. How long have you been Assistant Superintendent?
- A. I began my employment at Crawford County School District February 18th, 2002.
 - Q. Prior to what was your occupation?
- A. I was a senior high school principal at Titusville Area School District.
 - Q. How long were you in that position?
- A. I can't remember the date that I started. But I had been employed in the Titusville School District since 1986.
- Q. Okay. So, 1986 to -- until you came here in 2000
 - A. 2002.
 - Q. Okay. And then prior to 1986 you were employed -- were you employed with a school?
 - A. I was employed at Bucknell University for two years, '82 through '84. Clarion University from --
 - Q. In what capacity at Bucknell?
 - A. I was assistant wrestling coach at the university level.
 - Q. All right.
 - A. And I was at Clarion University in the same capacity for one year. And then I was in Ellwood City --
 - Q. What years are we talking about?
 - A. '86, '85.



2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24



relates to the budget, what is your best estimate if you know -- If you don't, you can say so -- of the budget, the district's budget is devoted to the recruitment, the efforts to recruit, encourage, and to diversify the teaching faculty of the district?

- A. I don't know that question -- I don't know the answer to that question.
 - Q. You don't know?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Any estimate?
 - A. No.

Q. Okay. Well in terms of your -- the time that you devote to this particular effort, what would you say how many hours a week you spend in this area?

You understand what I'm asking you? That is in terms of recruiting minorities to teach, as a professional staff in the district. And I ask that in light of number 23, which says you're also in charge with recruiting minority groups for professional, and paraprofessional positions.

- A. What --
- Q. Are you able to give me an estimate, and give me how much time you spend either weekly, monthly, in terms of carrying out these responsibilities?
- A. It's not something that I track daily, weekly or yearly. There's procedures in place that we utilize on a

1

Α.

2 3

4

5

16

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

,16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- You of course recall having sent that to Miss Wagner?
- Uh-huh, yes.
- And your sentiments express here concerning her Q. work, a lot of talk. That's a fair statement, isn't it?
 - Could you repeat that again?
- Your statement concerning her work, your sentiments expressed in the a letter of June 15th, 2005 and you say at the close of the school year, you take an opportunity to express your -- complimentary on the manner in which you perform your duties.

You as the author of the letter to Miss Wagner, expressing your appreciation, your recognition of her work. It's fair to say you -- you are expressing your regard and respect for her work during that school year as a substitute teacher; is that correct? Is that a fair statement to make?

- As a substitute teacher, that's correct Α.
- Okay. Exhibit 5. Q.

(Heller Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked.)

- Also Mr. Heller, Exhibit 6 is a letter, a written communication from Miss Schoonover, Brenda Schoonover. It's dated April, 2003 and it's from Miss Brenda Schoonover over to Miss Harriet Powell. Do you know these individuals?
 - I know Mrs. Schoonover. Α.
- And who is Miss Schoonover at that -- what Q. position did she -- if any did she occupy with the school

2

14.

between you and Miss Pickens about this matter?

- A. I believe I had a conversation with her on the phone, but never in person.
 - Q. What was the nature of the conversation?
- A. I believe that it was a conversation that we had been interviewing candidates to fill her position as a second district -- as a second grade teacher at Cochranton Elementary School along with a few other openings that we had for the remainder of the year in the school district at the elementary level as well.

And that we would respect her recommendation but she's not part of the selection process. You know, that's pretty much what the conversation consisted of. I can't be any more specific than that.

- Q. Is that the first time that Miss Pickens had called you and talked -- communicated with you concerning a replacement when she took leave, had occasion to take leave?
- A. I only, I believe, remember having one conversation with Miss Pickens.
 - Q. On prior leave concerning this event?
 - A. Period, one conversation.
- Q. Concerning this -- We're talking about this particular Exhibit 7?
 - A. I don't remember --
 - Q. But what is memorialized here, set down in

Q.

Observation?

the different types of teachers. Does that reflect the same job description?

(Heller Deposition Exhibit 11 was marked.)

A. Yes.

3

14

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Okay. Now, is it also correct to say then that the qualifications that a substitute teacher must satisfy are the same ones that a long term substitute, full-time teacher must meet?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. The qualifications are the same; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay, thank you. Exhibit 12 for the record, please. Mr. Heller, this is a copy of the complaint that was filed, administrative complaint, filed with the PHRC by Miss Wagner, February 20th, 2003. You have seen a copy of the complaint?

(Heller Deposition Exhibit 12 was marked.)

A. Yes, I have.

MR. KUHAR: I don't have 12.

MR. MC EWEN: Neither do I.

MR. NICHOLS: Okay, that's one I have to make a copy for. It's a copy -- You probably already have a copy.

MR. KUHAR: If that's what it is. I'm going to look over his shoulder. If that's what it is, we

76 also are subjected to observations? 1 2 By some members of the committee, that's correct. 3 All right. Now I'm looking at Exhibit 15. You Ο. 4 have a copy? 5 Α. No. 6 Your counsel has a copy. Q. 7 MR. NICHOLS: Mr. Kuhar, will you share it with 8 him? 9 MR. KUHAR: Sure. 10 BY MR. NICHOLS: 11 Exhibit 15, I'm -- My position is this and I'm Ο. asking you, if you want to as I go down this list, if you 12 wish -- I'm asking for your justification of this. I'm 13 saying that your theory -- your policy's theory, but you 14 have not followed your policy. 15 16 And I'm saying, one, with respect to Karen Jamison, Exhibit 15, she was allowed to teach a full year without an 17 interview. But Miss Wagner was subjected to an interview. 18 How can you justify that under your policy? 19 20 I wasn't here. Α. 21 Q. You were not here? 22 That's correct. Α. 23 Q. Who was here -- Who was in your position then? 24 Who? 25 Mr. Dolecki was the Superintendent at the time. Α.

24

25

Α.

Okay. What is the proper reference to that

I think you can call it what you want.

entity? Is it a panel or a committee?

Well, I notice that you were and counsel were 1 Q. very precise this morning to the extent that I want to be 2 precise, on the same wavelength with respect to 3 communicating. 4 5 I don't believe I used the word panel. A. 6 Would it be committee? Q. 7 Α. That's correct. 8 Would it be a personnel selection search Q. 9 committee? 10 Α. Selection committee. 11 Q. Selection committee? Interview committee. I don't think there's a 12 Α. 13 standard name for it. 14 Q. Okay. 15 I think we all know what we're talking about. Α. 16 0. You organize the committee, did you? 17 Α. That's correct? Did you select the members who sat on that 18 19 committee? 20 A. I -- Yeah, I guess you could say I did. 21 Is it fair to say you were the chairman of that Q. 22 23 A. I --24 Q. -- Committee?

I look at it as more of a facilitator than a

25

Α.

Now, I have reviewed the employment interview analysis forms of the applicants and I have here, first of all Miss Szalewicz application. And she in fact was the applicant

23

24

25

there's six, six members, right?

	96
,	A. November 22nd. I saw the date on it when you
2	showed me the form earlier.
3	Q. And then four days later, approximately four days
4	later, Mr. Meader also conducted observation, class
5	observation of Miss Wagner, correct?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. All right. And that of course those observation
8	results or forms have been made a part of the record now.
9	Now, you serving as a facilitator as you put it of this
10	committee, did you At the conclusion of the interview,
11	did you collect the forms?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. You had an opportunity to review them?
14	A. Everybody does.
15	Q. I'm talking about you in particular.
16	A. Everybody on the committee has an opportunity to
17	review all the forms, yes.
18	Q. And you had the opportunity
19	A. Which includes me. I'm part of the committee.
20	Q. Correct, of course. Now, did you notice that
21	several of the forms were not signed by the evaluators?
22	A. Most often they are.
23	Q. Well, if I show you which now is Exhibit 18, Miss
24	Szalewicz, one, two, three Three of the, I believe

- (1)

- A. Yes.

- A. I think there were.
- Q. Yeah, three of the six members of the committee didn't sign Miss Szalewicz evaluation, interview form?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. Miss Hughes -- Well, at any rate there was several of these. Let's see, Hughes, Rowena, and Nikki Shearer likewise. And there were two or three not signed. Mark Weathers, Erin, last name spelled, B-O-U-R-Q-U-I-N, E-R-I-N first name. Not signed properly. Carolyn Beers, B-E-E-R-S last name. Miss McElwain interview analysis, Karen Janeson (sic)?
 - A. Jamison.
- Q. Jamison, correct, J-A-M-I-S-O-N. Chad DuPont. I mentioned Stephanie Hughes before. Alicia Foulk. Stacy Boca. Blair Lawrence. David Stearns. All of these interview -- employment interview analysis forms are deficient in the sense this they are not signed by the evaluator on at least one or two, possibly three instances, okay.
- Now, Mr. Heller, I notice Stephanie Hughes's form, I looked on that and there's commentary on that on the second page and it appears to be your handwriting. Yeah, it was your application where you evaluated Stephanie Hughes. If I might show this to you (indicating).

25

positions.

	98
1	Q. Okay. And you will notice there That is your
2	handwriting there, isn't it?
3	A. I
4	Q. Your name appears as the evaluator?
5	A. I think it is, yeah.
6	Q. I note there is a comment you made that included
7	in a group there of individuals right there that included
8	in that group is Miss Wagner's name?
9	A. Uh-huh.
10	Q. And you refer, no way. That is your comment,
11	isn't it?
12	A./ It's in writing.
13	Q. Right. That is your comment, right?
14	(A./ That's correct.
15	Q. That's your writing and your comment?
16	A. Uh-huh.
17	Q. And when you made that comment it was in
18	reference to what?
19	A. Well, I think from a discussion at the end of the
20	interview process as we were considering the rating scores,
21	these were the people that were at the lower end of the
22	rating scale and it was just a reference made that these
23	people wouldn't be in consideration for those four

MR. KUHAR: What was the -- Did you say would or

1

wouldn't?

2

THE WITNESS: Wouldn't.

3

MR. KUHAR: Okay.

4

BY MR. NICHOLS:

7 5 6

Q. Okay, thank you. Miss Szalewicz did get the position? She got the position?

7

A. Uh-huh.

8

9

Q. And I notice on the scoring here that she scored number nine. Out of possibly 17, 18 applicants here she scored number nine?

10

A. Uh-huh.

of that committee.

12

13

Q. Why -- What was so compelling about her, given the fact that she got a nine that she would get the position over the other eight who obviously scored higher according

selection process is that at the conclusion of the

I understand what you're saying. Part of the

14 15

to what is shown here on Exhibit 18?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Z 4:

(25).

And some of the information that is shared is information based on performances within the buildings as a substitute teacher, information shared on observations that certain people have made. And it can be a group consensus

interviews there's a lot of discussion that takes place and

people that have participated in the selection process, part

there's a lot of information that can be shared by the

or committee consensus. We consider two levels at the elementary. A primary level, which is K through two. And intermediate level which is three through six.

And the committee many times determines who we would feel is stronger at the primary level versus the intermediate level. Okay, so the rating is -- the rating is significant, but it's not the only determining factor in the selection process.

- Q. Okay. Now two of the candidates of the applicants in this pool were in college at this time, right, when this interview was held; is that correct?
 - A. Give me their names.
 - Q. Robert Bazylak and Nikki Shearer?
 - A. Okay.

 $^{\prime}1$

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. That is a fact, right?
- A. They were finishing up their student teacher experience. I think they might have been in their last week when we interviewed them.
- Q. But they were still in college at the time when they sat for this interview, right?
 - A. Robert Bazylak had been a graduate a long time ago --
 - Q. No, I'm saying at the time though?
 - A. He was completing his teaching certificate.
 - Q. Student teaching?

101 1 Α. Student teaching experience. 2 But he didn't have his degree? Q. He had a degree. He had a degree from Allegheny 3 Α. College. 4 5 Q. But he was doing graduate work? 6 A. No, he was doing teacher certification work. 7 had a degree from a liberal arts school. (8) Ο. And Nikki Shearer? 9 Α. Nikki Shearer was finishing her student teaching 10 experience. 11 So that she had not yet received her Q. undergraduate degree? 12 13 Α. That's right. 14 And --Q. 15 But the didn't positions didn't start until Α. 16 January. Keep in mind they had their certificates when they 17 began. 18 Ο. At that time? 19 Α. Yes. 20 I notice the questions that the panel Q. All right. posed to the different applicants were different questions 21 22 23 A. No. 24 Each applicant had a different question they Q. 25 posed?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

(23

24

25

0.

Α.

Q.

156 necessary progress that we felt she needed to have in order 1 to be considered as a top candidate for a teaching position. Okay. Now, Mr. Heller --No, it was 2004, I'm sorry. I'm sorry, 2004. To correct that it was 2004. Q. When you met with her --The summer of 2004. Α. You're referring to the time you met with her? 0. Α. Yes. Q. Uh-huh. I retract that. Α. And she asked you for a copy of her evaluation 0. and she was told that you were -- analysis and you told her you would not make it available to her? Α. That's right, I didn't make them available to anybody. As a matter of policy or what is it? Q. Α. Procedure protocol.

Why would you withhold it if she wanted to see

It's just a decision I made at that particular

But it is a fact though, Mr. Heller, isn't it,

and to work on it, and to obviously be informed? It was her

It was her evaluation.

time, that's the only thing I can say about it.

that what I have here, Exhibit 25, which is 100 plus

171 1 (Off-the-record discussion.) BY MR. NICHOLS: I also understand there is a relationship between 3 4 Brian Mahoney and Mr. Stanton? 5 Α. That's correct. 6 Q. At the time he was hired? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. It was son-in-law relationship? 9 A. Son-in-law. 10 Q. Right? 11 That's right. Α. 12 That relationship is obviously not acceptable, Ο. unacceptable, isn't it, for purposes of the anti-nepotism 13 14 law? 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. How was that addressed by the committee? 17 How was that addressed by the committee? Α. 18 Q. Right. 19 I'm going to take responsibility for it. Α. 20 Q. Uh-huh. 21 I was in this position for three or four months, I was unaware of the policy at the time and the 22 administration, naturally the selection committee was 23 formulated and Mr. Stanton was part of that process. 24 after we concluded I was made aware. 725